

# **Accelerated Light Fading Test Results**

Epson Stylus Pro 3800, Epson OEM (K3 Ultrachrome – PS duotone mode) ink, Ilford Galerie Gold Fibre Silk 310gsm paper, coated with Hahnemühle Protective Spray

> Sample # AaI\_20100218\_SN011 140 Megalux-hours completed

Conservation Display Rating *								
Lower Exposure Limit (Megalux hours)	Upper Exposure limit (Megalux hours)							
131	passing							

<sup>\*</sup> Please read document AaI\_2009\_0118\_TA-01.pdf, "An Overview of the AaI&A Conservation Display Ratings", located on the Documents page of the AaI&A website for an explanation of the conservation display ratings.

**Document #:** AaI\_20100218\_SN011Lf.pdf Rev: June 17, 2013 Test Print Prepared by: AaI&A Member


Copyright 2013. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of members of Aardenburg Imaging & Archives. Members may share this information with other members, friends, colleagues, and individual clients. It may also be distributed to groups for educational purposes (classes, lectures, educational seminars. etc). However, all contents including but not limited to Conservation Display Ratings may not be posted to web sites and may not be reproduced or distributed for corporate research, marketing, or other promotional purposes without written permission from Aardenburg Imaging & Archives.



### **About this Report**

This report contains light fastness information about a single test print produced by a specific digital printing system. "System" refers to all hardware, software, and materials used to make the finished print. The hardware, software, material components, and printmaker's skills contribute to the final image quality and image permanence. The tested sample is made with current or recently discontinued stocks of commercially available products unless otherwise stated. Each sample has been prepared by Aardenburg Imaging & Archives or one of its members in accordance with customary print making practices unless otherwise noted. The sample may also contain additional finishing materials such as overcoats and laminates which are also noted when used. Finally, the sample has been tested under standardized conditions that are defined on the Sample Description page (see page 2). AaI&A makes every effort to ensure but cannot guarantee that the samples are properly identified and documented and that test results are accurate. For this reason, AaI&A also strives to test independently produced sample replicates in order to increase sampling confidence and to provide information on process variability. Please compare the results in this report to replicate test samples when the data become available.

## **Understanding the Test Results**



AaI\_StandardB&Wset(v2).tif

The magnitude and visual appearance of fading depends not only on the chosen printing system but the chosen image as well. In other words, different images are comprised of different colors, and the fading relationships between those colors dictate how the image will look as it fades. The sample print in this test report was made by printing the digital image shown on the left. This image is designed specifically for monochrome printing applications. It contains 30 neutral colors ranging from maximum white ( $L^*=100$ ,  $a^*=0$ ,  $b^*=0$ ) to maximum black ( $L^*=0$ ,  $a^*=0$ ,  $b^*=0$ ). Any hue and chroma observed in the "Original Print Colors" were achieved by the printmaker's selection of media, RIP/driver settings, and choice of inks installed in the printer. The actual sample appearance reproduced in this report is digitally mastered from the colorimetric measurements of the test sample.

Information about the fading characteristics of the product is provided in three ways:"

- 1) You can visually assess the fading. The target images reproduced in this report are digitally reconstructed from the spectrally measured color data rather than scanning or otherwise reproducing the physical print by conventional techniques. This method ensures a colorimetrically accurate representation of the print appearance as the print fades. A calibrated monitor is recommended to experience the best possible reproduction of the test sample appearance. The side-by-side presentation of the target images simulates looking at the light-exposed print along side a perfect duplicate of the unexposed original print. The "Before/After" Layer mode takes advantage of Adobe Reader Layer technology. Toggle the "Before/After" layer on and off using the layers feature of Adobe Reader to directly switch between the light exposed print colors and the initial print colors for the image located on the right side of each page. Also, use Adobe Reader's full screen mode to cycle through the pages and "animate" the fading.
- 2) *I\* Color and tonal accuracy scores are reported.* This report includes I\* metric scores that compare the color and tonal relationships of the light exposed samples to the color and tonal relationships existing in the original print prior to light exposure. Perfect I\* scores of 100% can be approached when no significant fading occurs. Average scores above 90% generally indicate excellent retention of original quality, 80% good, 70% fair, etc., but your conclusions may vary depending on your image quality requirements. *I\* color* rates the retained color accuracy (hue and chroma) while *I\* tone* rates the retained tonal accuracy (lightness and contrast). The score is on a percentile scale where 100% is a perfect match between the comparison image (e.g., "after" light exposure) and the reference image (e.g., "before" any light exposure). 0% *I\* color* means no color accuracy is left. 0% *I\* tone* means essentially no tonality remains and all image information content is lost. Negative I\* values have significance as well and contribute to the average I\* score when they occur. Negative I\* color values mean false color has occurred, for example, when a skin tone turns green or a neutral gray becomes distinctly colorful. Negative I\* tone scores mean visual contrast between colors has become inverted (i.e., like the tonal relationships in a photographic film negative). Serious image quality problems must arise before false colors and/or tones appear. For more information on the I\* metric, please refer to the AaI&A web site.
- 3) Color changes are also reported using the classic color difference model,  $\Delta E$ . Note that  $\Delta E$  values lose perceptual scaling significance when they become large (e.g., > 15). Also, the  $\Delta E$  equation does not unambiguously measure changes in image contrast. This limitation is generally not a problem for paints and textiles, but can be a serious oversight when evaluating photographic images. It was a major reason behind the development of the I\* metric.

Page 1




# Sample Description

**Printer:** Epson Stylus Pro 3800

**Ink:** Epson OEM (K3 Ultrachrome – duotone tint applied in Photoshop)

**Paper:** Ilford Galerie Gold Fibre Silk 310gsm **Coating:** Hahnemühle Protective Spray

Sample #: AaI\_20100218\_SN011
Test Print Prepared by: AaI&A member



**Test Image:** AaI\_StandardB&Wset(v2).tif

**RIP/Driver settings:** PS/CS3, Epson OEM driver, 1440 dpi, High Speed "on", No color adjustment (NCA) **Media Setting:** Premium Semigloss Photo Paper.

Printed: February 18, 2010

Original print colors measured on: September 5, 2010

Test started on: September 12, 2010

AaI\_StandardB&Wset(v2).tif

**Profile:** Pro38IGFS Rendering Intent: n.a.

Profile type: generic

Profile Creation Software: n.a.

Paper White Color (UV-included versus UV-excluded) and Maximum Printed Black									
Optical Brighteners present? ves**	L	*	а	*	b*				
yes**	UV inc	UV exc	UV inc	UV exc	UV inc	UV exc			
Maximum Paper White (no colorants printed)									
(1) ΔL*, Δa*, Δb* respectively	0.	0	0.	2	0.	7			
(1) Calculated differences, especially for $\Delta b^*$ , indicate the role and magnitude of fluorescence on original paper color									
Maximum Printed black (UV included) $L^* = 6.5$ $a^* = -0.4$ $b^* = 2.3$									

**Light Source:** Phillips Colortone F40T12/C50 **Filter/Glazing:** Sample framed under Glass\*

Light Exposure Cycle: 8 hours on, 4 hours off, twice per 24 hours

Average Illuminance during "on" cycle: 11,929 Lux

**Average Temperature:** 23.1 °C over full test duration, 24.5 °C during light exposure **Average Relative humidity:** 58.2%RH full test period, 58.0%RH during light exposure **CIELAB measurements:** D50 2° observer, Xrite Gretag/Macbeth Spectrolino/Spectroscan


### Replicates/Compare to:

Compare to AaI\_20100218\_SN009 which is printed with same printer/ink/paper combination but coated with MIS Associates Gloss Optimizer, also to samples AaI\_20100218\_SN001, 3, 5, 7, and 13 which are different monochrome systems printed on the same batch Ilford Gold Fiber Silk Paper (Batch# 27x4446032).


### **Notes/Comments:**

- \* The Phillips Colortone F40T12/C50 fluorescent light source and ordinary glass picture frame glazing yields UVA content and overall spectral power similar to natural 5000°K daylight entering a window and then striking a print that has been framed by **standard acrylic glazing** rather than ordinary glass. Other light sources and/or different glazing options may yield greater or lesser fade rates (generally, a 2-5x increase in fade rate for direct sunlight compared to UV–excluded sources at the same Lux level). The spectral quality of the light can also affect individual colors differently.
- \*\* Low concentration of OBAs in image receiver layer, more in paper core.


**20 Mluxhr Interval – January 15, 2011:** This sample was inadvertently not pulled from the light fade unit in time for its customary measurement at 20 megalux hours. The closest actual measurement to the 20 megalux hour interval was recorded at 22 megalus hours of exposure as noted in the test results shown on page 9.



Sample # AaI\_20100218\_SN011 was made using Adobe Photoshop's Duotone mode with a "Quadtone" setting and the Preset value "Bl CG10 WmG4 CG3" as shown in the figure above. This is how the duotone setup menu looks in Adobe PS/CS5 on a MAC computer (OS 10.6). The AaI&A member who submitted this sample referred to the printed result as a "Selenium look-alike". He considered the result similar in monochrome color tint as other samples he also submitted using the Cone Piezography Carbon Selenium MPS K7 ink set (See sample #s AaI\_20100218\_SN001 and AaI\_20100218\_SN003). This duotone-mode is not an exact match to the subtle hues produced on the Ilford Gallerie Gold Fibre silk paper by the the MPS K7 ink set, nor does it match the image microstructure of the K7 ink set because the duotone effect is achieved using the standard Epson K3 color ink set. Nevertheless, a pictorial print made with this duotone setup and also donated by the submitting member to the Aardenburg Archives is indeed a very beautiful print in its own right. Pages 4 and 5 show screenshots of the submitting member's duotone workflow on a PC computer.



Page 4



Page 5

Table	to Convert Megalux-h	ours of Lig	ht Ex	posur	e to es	timate	ed "Ye	ars or	Disp	lay"		
Indoor Light Lev	vels for Print Display	Multiply				Mega	dux-h	ours i	n test			
Light Exposure	Description	Mlux-hrs by	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
≤ 10 Lux 24 hours per day	Interior rooms, storage areas, or hallways without windows, illuminated sparingly by artificial lighting	11.42	114	228	342	457	571	685	799	913	1027	1142
50 Lux 12 hours per day	"Museum Standard" display condition	4.57	46	91	137	183	228	274	325	365	411	457
120 Lux 12 hours per day "Kodak Display Years" (1)	Average home illumination level for photos is ~ 60 lux. 90% of all displayed photos do not exceed 120 lux (1).	1.90	19	38	57	76	95	114	133	152	171	190
228 Lux 12 hours per day	Relatively bright home or office. Note the simple 1:1 relationship between "years on display" and Mlux-hr values at this condition.	1.00	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
450 Lux 12 hours per day "WIR Display Years" (2)  Also equals 500 lux for 11.8 hours per day	A bright home or commercial office building illumination level is 200-500 lux. Also, good illumination for color critical viewing and color matching tasks begins at about 500 lux.	0.51	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	41	46	51
2000 Lux 12 hours per day	Commercial Gallery. Also, critical color evaluation standards call for 2000 lux and a D50 illumination source.	0.114	1.1	2.3	3.4	4.6	5.7	6.8	8.0	9.1	10.3	11.4
5000 Lux 12 hours per day	E.g., Sunlight through a window striking print at an angle.	0.046	0.5	0.9	1.4	1.8	2.3	2.7	3.2	3.7	4.1	4.6
10,000 Lux 12 hours per day	South-facing window in U.S.A., e.g., storefront display with photos directly facing window.	0.023	0.2	0.5	<b>0.</b> 7	0.9	1.1	1.4	1.6	1.8	2.1	2.3

Light levels commonly encountered in the real world fluctuate widely throughout indoor print display environments and produce large variations in how long it takes for artwork to acquire light-induced damage. Use this table as a guide to estimate how many "years on display" (denoted in red text) it takes to accumulate the light exposure test dosage. Review the test results to decide which Megalux-hour dose has caused fading to your level of concern (e.g., just noticeable, easily noticeable, objectionable, etc.). Then choose the print display description that best represents how your print is likely to be displayed. You may want to obtain a lux meter and make some measurements in your own display environment!


Note that as the years of display time increase, light-induced fading can be eclipsed by other serious aging mechanisms such as fading and/or staining caused by heat, humidity, and air pollutants. Mould damage can also occur at high humidity. Even when colorants remain water fast, direct contact with liquids may result in physical deformation and staining of the substrate. Also, temperature and especially humidity cycling can cause physical cracks and/or flaking, etc. Handling damage such as scratching, abrasion, tears and creases, and catastrophic damage by smoke, fire, flood, etc., also degrade print quality over time. Thus, as illumination levels are reduced other forms of degradation take on greater proportion of risk and may appear in shorter time intervals.

- (1) Eastman Kodak has cited this exposure condition and 90% confidence limit as a rationale for estimating print fading times of traditional color photo materials in typical home display environments. For recent light fading claims regarding its line of pigment-based inkjet printers, Kodak has adopted the higher level of 450lux/12 hours per day which is also used by Wilhelm Imaging Research, Inc. (See below).
- (2) Wilhelm Imaging Research (WIR) has standardized its light fastness ratings on 450 lux for 12 hours per day in order to estimate the years on display necessary to reach "noticeable" fading. This average light exposure condition, an assumed 75°F/60%RH temperature and humidity level, and WIR's visually weighted densitometric endpoint criteria set V3.0 has become a de facto industry standard for most predictive light fading estimates in the absence of a published International Standards Organization (ISO) test standard.




Epson Stylus Pro 3800, Epson OEM (K3 Ultrachrome – PS duotone mode) ink, Ilford Galerie Gold Fibre Silk 310gsm paper, coated with Hahnemühle Protective Spray

	Original Print Colors as Measured and at Start of Test									
					*	a	*	b	*	
Column/row	Color Patch	I*Color	ΔΕ	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	
A1	Media White	100.0	0.0	97.3		0.1		0.6		
B1	Highlight L* = 96	100.0	0.0	89.8		0.8		-0.1		
C1	Highlight L* = 89	100.0	0.0	81.8		0.4		2.2		
D1	Highlight L* = 78	100.0	0.0	70.4		2.0		2.6		
E1	Midtone $L^* = 66$	100.0	0.0	61.1		2.2		3.3		
F1	Midtone $L^* = 52$	100.0	0.0	49.5		1.8		3.4		
A2	Highlight $L^* = 92$	100.0	0.0	85.3		0.1		2.0		
B2	Highlight $L^* = 85$	100.0	0.0	78.2		-0.1		2.0		
C2	Highlight L* = 75	100.0	0.0	68.1		2.1		2.9		
D2	Midtone L* = 63	100.0	0.0	58.0		2.1		2.1		
E2	Midtone L* = 50	100.0	0.0	47.9		1.7		3.2		
F2	Midtone L* = 38	100.0	0.0	38.8		1.3		3.4		
A3	Highlight $L^* = 82$	100.0	0.0	74.5		1.2		0.8		
В3	Midtone $L^* = 72$	100.0	0.0	65.8		2.1		3.6		
C3	Midtone L* = 60	100.0	0.0	55.5		1.8		2.7		
D3	Midtone L* = 47	100.0	0.0	45.5		1.5		3.2		
E3	Midtone L* = 35	100.0	0.0	36.6		1.2		3.5		
F3	Shadow $L^* = 25$	100.0	0.0	28.4		1.8		2.3		
A4	Midtone L* = 69	100.0	0.0	63.3		1.8		4.1		
B4	Midtone $L^* = 57$	100.0	0.0	53.4		0.9		3.2		
C4	Midtone L* = 45	100.0	0.0	43.6		1.7		3.2		
D4	Midtone L* = 32	100.0	0.0	34.7		1.5		3.3		
E4	Shadow $L^* = 20$	100.0	0.0	23.8		1.7		1.8		
F4	Shadow $L^* = 10$	100.0	0.0	14.4		1.4		-0.5		
A5	Midtone $L^* = 55$	100.0	0.0	51.9		1.2		3.2		
B5	Midtone $L^* = 41$	100.0	0.0	41.0		1.7		4.1		
C5	Shadow $L^* = 29$	100.0	0.0	32.1		2.1		2.7		
D5	Shadow $L^* = 15$	100.0	0.0	19.6		0.7		1.3		
E5	Shadow $L^* = 5$	100.0	0.0	11.2		1.2		-0.7		
F5	Max Black	100.0	0.0	6.5		-0.4		2.3		
Sumr	mary Results	I*Color	I*tone	ΔΕ		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Average So	core for all patches	100	100	0.0	-	A <sub>A</sub>	RDENBURG			
	re for the Worst 10% t scoring patches)	100	100	0.0	1		& Archi	VES	Page 7	




Epson Stylus Pro 3800, Epson OEM (K3 Ultrachrome – PS duotone mode) ink, Ilford Galerie Gold Fibre Silk 310gsm paper, coated with Hahnemühle Protective Spray

10	10 Mlux-hrs Light Exposure (i.e., after) Compared to Original Print Colors (i.e., before)										
				L	*	а	*	b	*		
Column/row	Color Patch	I*Color	ΔΕ	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After		
A1	Media White	100.0	0.6	97.3	97.8	0.1	0.0	0.6	0.3		
B1	Highlight $L^* = 96$	100.0	0.6	89.8	90.3	0.8	0.7	-0.1	-0.3		
C1	Highlight L* = 89	100.0	0.7	81.8	82.4	0.4	0.3	2.2	1.9		
D1	Highlight $L^* = 78$	100.0	0.8	70.4	71.2	2.0	1.8	2.6	2.3		
E1	Midtone L* = 66	100.0	0.8	61.1	61.8	2.2	2.1	3.3	3.1		
F1	Midtone L* = 52	100.0	0.8	49.5	50.2	1.8	1.8	3.4	3.2		
A2	Highlight $L^* = 92$	100.0	0.6	85.3	85.9	0.1	0.0	2.0	1.8		
B2	Highlight $L^* = 85$	100.0	0.7	78.2	78.8	-0.1	-0.2	2.0	1.8		
C2	Highlight $L^* = 75$	100.0	0.8	68.1	68.9	2.1	2.0	2.9	2.6		
D2	Midtone $L^* = 63$	100.0	0.7	58.0	58.7	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.0		
E2	Midtone $L^* = 50$	100.0	0.8	47.9	48.7	1.7	1.6	3.2	3.2		
F2	Midtone L* = 38	100.0	0.8	38.8	39.6	1.3	1.2	3.4	3.3		
A3	Highlight $L^* = 82$	100.0	0.8	74.5	75.3	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.7		
B3	Midtone L* = 72	100.0	0.8	65.8	66.6	2.1	2.0	3.6	3.3		
C3	Midtone L* = 60	100.0	0.9	55.5	56.3	1.8	1.7	2.7	2.5		
D3	Midtone L* = 47	100.0	0.8	45.5	46.3	1.5	1.5	3.2	3.1		
E3	Midtone L* = 35	100.0	0.8	36.6	37.4	1.2	1.2	3.5	3.4		
F3	Shadow $L^* = 25$	100.0	0.7	28.4	29.0	1.8	1.8	2.3	2.2		
A4	Midtone $L^* = 69$	100.0	0.8	63.3	64.1	1.8	1.7	4.1	3.9		
B4	Midtone $L^* = 57$	100.0	0.8	53.4	54.3	0.9	0.9	3.2	3.0		
C4	Midtone $L^* = 45$	100.0	0.8	43.6	44.4	1.7	1.7	3.2	3.0		
D4	Midtone $L^* = 32$	100.0	0.7	34.7	35.4	1.5	1.5	3.3	3.2		
E4	Shadow $L^* = 20$	100.0	0.7	23.8	24.5	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.7		
F4	Shadow $L^* = 10$	100.0	0.5	14.4	14.9	1.4	1.4	-0.5	-0.6		
A5	Midtone $L^* = 55$	100.0	0.8	51.9	52.7	1.2	1.1	3.2	3.0		
B5	Midtone $L^* = 41$	100.0	0.8	41.0	41.8	1.7	1.6	4.1	3.9		
C5	Shadow $L^* = 29$	100.0	0.8	32.1	32.9	2.1	2.1	2.7	2.6		
D5	Shadow $L^* = 15$	100.0	0.8	19.6	20.4	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2		
E5	Shadow $L^* = 5$	100.0	0.7	11.2	11.9	1.2	1.3	-0.7	-0.9		
F5	Max Black	100.0	0.4	6.5	7.0	-0.4	-0.3	2.3	2.4		
Sumr	mary Results	I*Color	I*tone	ΔΕ	,	• .					
Average So	core for all patches	100.0	98.4	0.7	_	A <sub>A</sub>	RDENBURG				
	re for the Worst 10% t scoring patches)	100.0	97.6	0.9	1		& Archi	VES	Page 8		



Epson Stylus Pro 3800, Epson OEM (K3 Ultrachrome – PS duotone mode) ink, Ilford Galerie Gold Fibre Silk 310gsm paper, coated with Hahnemühle Protective Spray

22	Mlux-hrs Light 1	Exposure	(i.e., after)	Compare	ed to Ori	ginal Pri	nt Color	S (i.e., befor	e)
					*	a		b	*
Column/row	Color Patch	I*Color	ΔΕ	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
A1	Media White	100.0	0.6	97.3	97.8	0.1	0.0	0.6	0.6
B1	Highlight $L^* = 96$	100.0	0.6	89.8	90.4	0.8	0.6	-0.1	-0.1
C1	Highlight $L^* = 89$	100.0	0.7	81.8	82.4	0.4	0.3	2.2	2.0
D1	Highlight $L^* = 78$	100.0	0.9	70.4	71.3	2.0	1.8	2.6	2.4
E1	Midtone L* = 66	100.0	0.9	61.1	62.0	2.2	2.2	3.3	3.3
F1	Midtone L* = 52	100.0	1.0	49.5	50.5	1.8	1.9	3.4	3.3
A2	Highlight $L^* = 92$	100.0	0.7	85.3	85.9	0.1	-0.1	2.0	1.9
B2	Highlight $L^* = 85$	100.0	0.8	78.2	79.0	-0.1	-0.3	2.0	1.9
C2	Highlight $L^* = 75$	100.0	1.0	68.1	69.1	2.1	2.0	2.9	2.7
D2	Midtone $L^* = 63$	100.0	1.0	58.0	58.9	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.1
E2	Midtone $L^* = 50$	100.0	1.0	47.9	48.9	1.7	1.7	3.2	3.2
F2	Midtone L* = 38	100.0	1.0	38.8	39.8	1.3	1.2	3.4	3.3
A3	Highlight $L^* = 82$	100.0	0.9	74.5	75.4	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.9
B3	Midtone L* = 72	100.0	1.0	65.8	66.8	2.1	2.1	3.6	3.4
C3	Midtone L* = 60	100.0	1.1	55.5	56.6	1.8	1.8	2.7	2.5
D3	Midtone L* = 47	100.0	1.0	45.5	46.6	1.5	1.5	3.2	3.1
E3	Midtone L* = 35	100.0	1.0	36.6	37.6	1.2	1.3	3.5	3.5
F3	Shadow $L^* = 25$	100.0	1.0	28.4	29.3	1.8	1.8	2.3	2.3
A4	Midtone L* = 69	100.0	1.0	63.3	64.3	1.8	1.8	4.1	3.9
B4	Midtone L* = 57	100.0	1.0	53.4	54.4	0.9	1.0	3.2	3.1
C4	Midtone L* = 45	100.0	1.0	43.6	44.6	1.7	1.7	3.2	3.1
D4	Midtone L* = 32	100.0	0.9	34.7	35.6	1.5	1.5	3.3	3.1
E4	Shadow $L^* = 20$	100.0	0.9	23.8	24.7	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.7
F4	Shadow $L^* = 10$	100.0	0.7	14.4	15.0	1.4	1.6	-0.5	-0.6
A5	Midtone L* = 55	100.0	1.0	51.9	52.9	1.2	1.2	3.2	3.1
B5	Midtone L* = 41	100.0	1.1	41.0	42.1	1.7	1.7	4.1	4.0
C5	Shadow $L^* = 29$	100.0	1.0	32.1	33.1	2.1	2.0	2.7	2.6
D5	Shadow $L^* = 15$	100.0	0.9	19.6	20.5	0.7	0.9	1.3	1.0
E5	Shadow $L^* = 5$	100.0	0.8	11.2	12.0	1.2	1.4	-0.7	-0.9
F5	Max Black	100.0	0.6	6.5	7.1	-0.4	-0.2	2.3	2.4
Sumr	mary Results	I*Color	I*tone	ΔΕ	<u> </u>	• •			
Average So	core for all patches	100.0	98.1	0.9		A <sub>A</sub>	RDENBURG		
	re for the Worst 10% t scoring patches)	100.0	97.2	1.1	1		& Archi	VES	Page 9




Epson Stylus Pro 3800, Epson OEM (K3 Ultrachrome – PS duotone mode) ink, Ilford Galerie Gold Fibre Silk 310gsm paper, coated with Hahnemühle Protective Spray

30	Mlux-hrs Light 1	Exposure	(i.e., after)	Compare	ed to Ori	ginal Pri	nt Color	S (i.e., befor	e)
					*	a		b	*
Column/row	Color Patch	I*Color	ΔΕ	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
A1	Media White	100.0	0.6	97.3	97.8	0.1	-0.1	0.6	0.8
B1	Highlight $L^* = 96$	100.0	0.6	89.8	90.4	0.8	0.6	-0.1	0.0
C1	Highlight $L^* = 89$	100.0	0.8	81.8	82.5	0.4	0.2	2.2	2.1
D1	Highlight $L^* = 78$	100.0	1.0	70.4	71.4	2.0	1.8	2.6	2.5
E1	Midtone L* = 66	100.0	1.0	61.1	62.1	2.2	2.2	3.3	3.3
F1	Midtone L* = 52	100.0	1.1	49.5	50.5	1.8	1.9	3.4	3.3
A2	Highlight L* = 92	100.0	0.7	85.3	85.9	0.1	-0.1	2.0	2.0
B2	Highlight $L^* = 85$	100.0	0.9	78.2	79.1	-0.1	-0.3	2.0	2.0
C2	Highlight $L^* = 75$	100.0	1.1	68.1	69.2	2.1	2.0	2.9	2.8
D2	Midtone L* = 63	100.0	1.1	58.0	59.0	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.2
E2	Midtone $L^* = 50$	100.0	1.1	47.9	49.0	1.7	1.7	3.2	3.2
F2	Midtone L* = 38	100.0	1.1	38.8	39.9	1.3	1.3	3.4	3.3
A3	Highlight L* = 82	100.0	1.0	74.5	75.5	1.2	1.0	0.8	1.0
B3	Midtone L* = 72	100.0	1.1	65.8	66.9	2.1	2.0	3.6	3.5
C3	Midtone L* = 60	100.0	1.2	55.5	56.6	1.8	1.8	2.7	2.6
D3	Midtone L* = 47	100.0	1.1	45.5	46.7	1.5	1.5	3.2	3.2
E3	Midtone L* = 35	100.0	1.1	36.6	37.7	1.2	1.3	3.5	3.5
F3	Shadow $L^* = 25$	100.0	1.0	28.4	29.4	1.8	1.9	2.3	2.2
A4	Midtone L* = 69	100.0	1.0	63.3	64.3	1.8	1.8	4.1	4.0
B4	Midtone L* = 57	100.0	1.1	53.4	54.5	0.9	1.0	3.2	3.2
C4	Midtone L* = 45	100.0	1.1	43.6	44.7	1.7	1.8	3.2	3.1
D4	Midtone $L^* = 32$	100.0	1.0	34.7	35.6	1.5	1.5	3.3	3.1
E4	Shadow $L^* = 20$	100.0	1.0	23.8	24.8	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.6
F4	Shadow $L^* = 10$	100.0	0.9	14.4	15.3	1.4	1.5	-0.5	-0.6
A5	Midtone $L^* = 55$	100.0	1.1	51.9	53.1	1.2	1.2	3.2	3.1
B5	Midtone $L^* = 41$	100.0	1.1	41.0	42.1	1.7	1.7	4.1	4.0
C5	Shadow $L^* = 29$	100.0	1.1	32.1	33.1	2.1	2.1	2.7	2.6
D5	Shadow L* = 15	100.0	1.0	19.6	20.6	0.7	0.8	1.3	1.1
E5	Shadow $L^* = 5$	100.0	0.8	11.2	12.0	1.2	1.5	-0.7	-0.9
F5	Max Black	100.0	0.7	6.5	7.2	-0.4	-0.2	2.3	2.4
Sumr	mary Results	I*Color	I*tone	ΔΕ		•			
Average So	core for all patches	100.0	97.9	1.0		A <sub>A</sub>	RDENBURG		
	re for the Worst 10% t scoring patches)	100.0	96.9	1.1	1		& Archi	VES	Page 10




Epson Stylus Pro 3800, Epson OEM (K3 Ultrachrome – PS duotone mode) ink, Ilford Galerie Gold Fibre Silk 310gsm paper, coated with Hahnemühle Protective Spray

40	Mlux-hrs Light 1	Exposure	(i.e., after)	Compare	ed to Ori	ginal Pri	nt Color	S (i.e., befor	e)
					*	a		b	*
Column/row	Color Patch	I*Color	ΔΕ	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
A1	Media White	100.0	0.6	97.3	97.8	0.1	-0.1	0.6	0.9
B1	Highlight $L^* = 96$	100.0	0.7	89.8	90.4	0.8	0.6	-0.1	0.1
C1	Highlight $L^* = 89$	100.0	0.9	81.8	82.6	0.4	0.2	2.2	2.1
D1	Highlight $L^* = 78$	100.0	1.2	70.4	71.6	2.0	1.8	2.6	2.5
E1	Midtone L* = 66	100.0	1.2	61.1	62.3	2.2	2.3	3.3	3.3
F1	Midtone L* = 52	100.0	1.3	49.5	50.8	1.8	2.0	3.4	3.3
A2	Highlight $L^* = 92$	100.0	0.8	85.3	86.0	0.1	-0.1	2.0	2.0
B2	Highlight $L^* = 85$	100.0	1.0	78.2	79.2	-0.1	-0.3	2.0	2.0
C2	Highlight $L^* = 75$	100.0	1.3	68.1	69.4	2.1	2.0	2.9	2.8
D2	Midtone $L^* = 63$	100.0	1.3	58.0	59.3	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1
E2	Midtone $L^* = 50$	100.0	1.4	47.9	49.3	1.7	1.8	3.2	3.2
F2	Midtone L* = 38	100.0	1.4	38.8	40.2	1.3	1.4	3.4	3.2
A3	Highlight $L^* = 82$	100.0	1.1	74.5	75.7	1.2	1.0	0.8	1.0
B3	Midtone L* = 72	100.0	1.3	65.8	67.1	2.1	2.1	3.6	3.4
C3	Midtone L* = 60	100.0	1.4	55.5	56.9	1.8	1.8	2.7	2.6
D3	Midtone L* = 47	100.0	1.4	45.5	46.9	1.5	1.7	3.2	3.0
E3	Midtone L* = 35	100.0	1.4	36.6	37.9	1.2	1.4	3.5	3.4
F3	Shadow $L^* = 25$	100.0	1.4	28.4	29.7	1.8	1.9	2.3	2.2
A4	Midtone L* = 69	100.0	1.3	63.3	64.6	1.8	1.8	4.1	3.9
B4	Midtone L* = 57	100.0	1.4	53.4	54.8	0.9	1.0	3.2	3.1
C4	Midtone L* = 45	100.0	1.4	43.6	45.0	1.7	1.9	3.2	3.0
D4	Midtone L* = 32	100.0	1.3	34.7	36.0	1.5	1.6	3.3	3.0
E4	Shadow $L^* = 20$	100.0	1.3	23.8	25.1	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.6
F4	Shadow $L^* = 10$	100.0	1.2	14.4	15.5	1.4	1.6	-0.5	-0.7
A5	Midtone L* = 55	100.0	1.4	51.9	53.3	1.2	1.3	3.2	3.1
B5	Midtone L* = 41	100.0	1.4	41.0	42.4	1.7	1.8	4.1	3.8
C5	Shadow $L^* = 29$	100.0	1.4	32.1	33.4	2.1	2.3	2.7	2.5
D5	Shadow $L^* = 15$	100.0	1.2	19.6	20.8	0.7	0.9	1.3	1.0
E5	Shadow $L^* = 5$	100.0	1.2	11.2	12.3	1.2	1.4	-0.7	-1.0
F5	Max Black	100.0	0.9	6.5	7.4	-0.4	-0.2	2.3	2.4
Sumr	mary Results	I*Color	I*tone	ΔΕ		• ✓			
Average So	core for all patches	100.0	97.5	1.2		A <sub>A</sub>	RDENBURG		
	re for the Worst 10% t scoring patches)	100.0	96.5	1.4	1		& Archi	VES	Page 11



Epson Stylus Pro 3800, Epson OEM (K3 Ultrachrome – PS duotone mode) ink, Ilford Galerie Gold Fibre Silk 310gsm paper, coated with Hahnemühle Protective Spray

50	50 Mlux-hrs Light Exposure (i.e., after) Compared to Original Print Colors (i.e., before)										
				L	*	а	*	b	*		
Column/row	Color Patch	I*Color	ΔΕ	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After		
A1	Media White	93.1	1.2	97.3	97.6	0.1	-0.1	0.6	1.8		
B1	Highlight $L^* = 96$	94.1	1.2	89.8	90.2	0.8	0.5	-0.1	1.0		
C1	Highlight L* = 89	97.3	1.0	81.8	82.5	0.4	0.2	2.2	2.9		
D1	Highlight $L^* = 78$	97.2	1.4	70.4	71.6	2.0	1.9	2.6	3.3		
E1	Midtone L* = 66	98.6	1.3	61.1	62.3	2.2	2.3	3.3	4.0		
F1	Midtone L* = 52	100.0	1.3	49.5	50.8	1.8	2.0	3.4	3.8		
A2	Highlight $L^* = 92$	95.4	1.1	85.3	85.8	0.1	-0.1	2.0	2.9		
B2	Highlight $L^* = 85$	95.1	1.3	78.2	79.0	-0.1	-0.3	2.0	3.0		
C2	Highlight $L^* = 75$	96.7	1.4	68.1	69.3	2.1	2.1	2.9	3.7		
D2	Midtone $L^* = 63$	97.5	1.4	58.0	59.2	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.8		
E2	Midtone $L^* = 50$	100.0	1.4	47.9	49.2	1.7	1.9	3.2	3.7		
F2	Midtone L* = 38	100.0	1.4	38.8	40.1	1.3	1.4	3.4	3.6		
A3	Highlight $L^* = 82$	93.1	1.5	74.5	75.5	1.2	1.0	0.8	2.0		
B3	Midtone L* = 72	97.5	1.3	65.8	67.0	2.1	2.2	3.6	4.3		
C3	Midtone L* = 60	99.1	1.4	55.5	56.7	1.8	2.0	2.7	3.2		
D3	Midtone L* = 47	100.0	1.4	45.5	46.9	1.5	1.7	3.2	3.5		
E3	Midtone L* = 35	100.0	1.4	36.6	37.9	1.2	1.5	3.5	3.7		
F3	Shadow $L^* = 25$	100.0	1.4	28.4	29.7	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.4		
A4	Midtone $L^* = 69$	98.5	1.3	63.3	64.5	1.8	2.0	4.1	4.7		
B4	Midtone $L^* = 57$	99.8	1.4	53.4	54.7	0.9	1.2	3.2	3.7		
C4	Midtone $L^* = 45$	100.0	1.4	43.6	44.9	1.7	1.9	3.2	3.5		
D4	Midtone $L^* = 32$	100.0	1.3	34.7	35.9	1.5	1.7	3.3	3.4		
E4	Shadow $L^* = 20$	100.0	1.3	23.8	25.1	1.7	1.9	1.8	1.7		
F4	Shadow $L^* = 10$	100.0	1.0	14.4	15.4	1.4	1.6	-0.5	-0.6		
A5	Midtone $L^* = 55$	100.0	1.4	51.9	53.2	1.2	1.4	3.2	3.6		
B5	Midtone $L^* = 41$	100.0	1.4	41.0	42.3	1.7	1.9	4.1	4.2		
C5	Shadow $L^* = 29$	100.0	1.3	32.1	33.4	2.1	2.3	2.7	2.8		
D5	Shadow $L^* = 15$	100.0	1.2	19.6	20.8	0.7	0.9	1.3	1.2		
E5	Shadow $L^* = 5$	100.0	0.8	11.2	11.9	1.2	1.5	-0.7	-1.0		
F5	Max Black	100.0	0.6	6.5	7.1	-0.4	-0.1	2.3	2.4		
Sumr	mary Results	I*Color	I*tone	ΔΕ	,	• .					
Average So	core for all patches	98.4	97.4	1.3	_	A <sub>A</sub>	RDENBURG				
	re for the Worst 10% t scoring patches)	93.4	96.2	1.4	1		& Archi	VES	Page 12		




Epson Stylus Pro 3800, Epson OEM (K3 Ultrachrome – PS duotone mode) ink, Ilford Galerie Gold Fibre Silk 310gsm paper, coated with Hahnemühle Protective Spray

60	60 Mlux-hrs Light Exposure (i.e., after) Compared to Original Print Colors (i.e., before)										
	THE PARTY OF THE P		(i.e., arter)		*	a a		b h			
Column/row	Color Patch	I*Color	ΔΕ	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After		
A1	Media White	100.0	0.7	97.3	97.7	0.1	-0.1	0.6	1.1		
B1	Highlight L* = 96	100.0	0.8	89.8	90.4	0.8	0.5	-0.1	0.3		
C1	Highlight L* = 89	100.0	0.9	81.8	82.7	0.4	0.1	2.2	2.2		
D1	Highlight L* = 78	100.0	1.4	70.4	71.8	2.0	1.9	2.6	2.5		
E1	Midtone L* = 66	100.0	1.4	61.1	62.5	2.2	2.3	3.3	3.3		
F1	Midtone L* = 52	100.0	1.5	49.5	51.0	1.8	2.0	3.4	3.3		
A2	Highlight $L^* = 92$	100.0	0.8	85.3	86.0	0.1	-0.1	2.0	2.1		
B2	Highlight L* = 85	100.0	1.1	78.2	79.2	-0.1	-0.3	2.0	2.0		
C2	Highlight L* = 75	100.0	1.4	68.1	69.5	2.1	2.0	2.9	2.9		
D2	Midtone L* = 63	100.0	1.4	58.0	59.4	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.2		
E2	Midtone L* = 50	100.0	1.5	47.9	49.4	1.7	1.9	3.2	3.2		
F2	Midtone L* = 38	100.0	1.5	38.8	40.3	1.3	1.5	3.4	3.2		
A3	Highlight $L^* = 82$	100.0	1.2	74.5	75.7	1.2	1.0	0.8	1.0		
B3	Midtone L* = 72	100.0	1.4	65.8	67.2	2.1	2.2	3.6	3.5		
C3	Midtone L* = 60	100.0	1.5	55.5	57.0	1.8	2.0	2.7	2.6		
D3	Midtone L* = 47	100.0	1.5	45.5	47.0	1.5	1.8	3.2	3.0		
E3	Midtone L* = 35	100.0	1.5	36.6	38.1	1.2	1.5	3.5	3.3		
F3	Shadow $L^* = 25$	100.0	1.4	28.4	29.8	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.2		
A4	Midtone L* = 69	100.0	1.4	63.3	64.7	1.8	1.9	4.1	4.0		
B4	Midtone L* = 57	100.0	1.5	53.4	54.9	0.9	1.2	3.2	3.1		
C4	Midtone L* = 45	100.0	1.5	43.6	45.1	1.7	2.0	3.2	3.0		
D4	Midtone L* = 32	100.0	1.5	34.7	36.1	1.5	1.8	3.3	3.0		
E4	Shadow $L^* = 20$	100.0	1.4	23.8	25.2	1.7	1.9	1.8	1.5		
F4	Shadow L* = 10	100.0	1.1	14.4	15.5	1.4	1.6	-0.5	-0.8		
A5	Midtone L* = 55	100.0	1.3	51.9	53.2	1.2	1.5	3.2	3.0		
B5	Midtone L* = 41	100.0	1.5	41.0	42.5	1.7	2.0	4.1	3.9		
C5	Shadow $L^* = 29$	100.0	1.5	32.1	33.5	2.1	2.4	2.7	2.5		
D5	Shadow L* = 15	100.0	1.2	19.6	20.8	0.7	0.9	1.3	0.9		
<u>E5</u>	Shadow $L^* = 5$	100.0	0.8	11.2	11.9	1.2	1.6	-0.7	-1.0		
F5	Max Black	100.0	0.7	6.5	7.2	-0.4	-0.2	2.3	2.5		
Sumr	mary Results	I*Color	I*tone	ΔΕ		0/					
Average So	core for all patches	100.0	97.0	1.3	_	A <sub>A</sub>	RDENBURG				
	re for the Worst 10% t scoring patches)	100.0	94.5	1.5	1		& Archi	VES	Page 13		




Epson Stylus Pro 3800, Epson OEM (K3 Ultrachrome – PS duotone mode) ink, Ilford Galerie Gold Fibre Silk 310gsm paper, coated with Hahnemühle Protective Spray

70	70 Mlux-hrs Light Exposure (i.e., after) Compared to Original Print Colors (i.e., before)										
				Ĺ	*	а	*	b	*		
Column/row	Color Patch	I*Color	ΔΕ	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After		
A1	Media White	98.4	0.9	97.3	97.9	0.1	-0.1	0.6	1.2		
B1	Highlight L* = 96	99.6	0.9	89.8	90.5	0.8	0.5	-0.1	0.3		
C1	Highlight L* = 89	100.0	1.0	81.8	82.8	0.4	0.1	2.2	2.3		
D1	Highlight L* = 78	100.0	1.6	70.4	72.0	2.0	1.9	2.6	2.5		
E1	Midtone $L^* = 66$	100.0	1.7	61.1	62.8	2.2	2.4	3.3	3.3		
F1	Midtone $L^* = 52$	100.0	1.8	49.5	51.2	1.8	2.2	3.4	3.2		
A2	Highlight $L^* = 92$	100.0	0.9	85.3	86.2	0.1	-0.1	2.0	2.0		
B2	Highlight $L^* = 85$	100.0	1.3	78.2	79.4	-0.1	-0.3	2.0	2.0		
C2	Highlight $L^* = 75$	100.0	1.6	68.1	69.7	2.1	2.1	2.9	2.7		
D2	Midtone $L^* = 63$	100.0	1.7	58.0	59.6	2.1	2.3	2.1	2.0		
E2	Midtone $L^* = 50$	100.0	1.8	47.9	49.7	1.7	2.1	3.2	3.1		
F2	Midtone L* = 38	100.0	1.7	38.8	40.5	1.3	1.5	3.4	3.3		
A3	Highlight $L^* = 82$	100.0	1.4	74.5	75.9	1.2	1.0	0.8	1.0		
B3	Midtone L* = 72	100.0	1.7	65.8	67.5	2.1	2.3	3.6	3.4		
C3	Midtone L* = 60	100.0	1.9	55.5	57.3	1.8	2.1	2.7	2.5		
D3	Midtone L* = 47	100.0	1.9	45.5	47.4	1.5	1.8	3.2	2.9		
E3	Midtone L* = 35	100.0	1.8	36.6	38.4	1.2	1.6	3.5	3.3		
F3	Shadow $L^* = 25$	100.0	1.8	28.4	30.1	1.8	2.2	2.3	2.1		
A4	Midtone $L^* = 69$	100.0	1.7	63.3	65.0	1.8	2.0	4.1	3.9		
B4	Midtone $L^* = 57$	100.0	1.8	53.4	55.2	0.9	1.3	3.2	3.1		
C4	Midtone $L^* = 45$	100.0	1.9	43.6	45.5	1.7	2.0	3.2	2.9		
D4	Midtone $L^* = 32$	100.0	1.7	34.7	36.3	1.5	1.7	3.3	3.0		
E4	Shadow $L^* = 20$	99.1	1.8	23.8	25.5	1.7	2.0	1.8	1.3		
F4	Shadow $L^* = 10$	100.0	1.3	14.4	15.7	1.4	1.7	-0.5	-0.7		
A5	Midtone $L^* = 55$	100.0	1.6	51.9	53.5	1.2	1.4	3.2	2.9		
B5	Midtone $L^* = 41$	100.0	1.9	41.0	42.8	1.7	1.9	4.1	3.7		
C5	Shadow $L^* = 29$	100.0	1.8	32.1	33.9	2.1	2.3	2.7	2.5		
D5	Shadow $L^* = 15$	98.8	1.7	19.6	21.2	0.7	1.0	1.3	0.8		
E5	Shadow $L^* = 5$	100.0	1.3	11.2	12.4	1.2	1.5	-0.7	-1.1		
F5	Max Black	100.0	1.0	6.5	7.5	-0.4	-0.2	2.3	2.6		
Sumr	mary Results	I*Color	I*tone	ΔΕ		• •					
Average So	core for all patches	99.9	96.4	1.6	_	A <sub>A</sub>	RDENBURG				
	re for the Worst 10% t scoring patches)	98.8	94.6	1.9	1		& Archi	VES	Page 14		



Epson Stylus Pro 3800, Epson OEM (K3 Ultrachrome – PS duotone mode) ink, Ilford Galerie Gold Fibre Silk 310gsm paper, coated with Hahnemühle Protective Spray

80	Mlux-hrs Light 1	Exposure	(i.e., after)	Compare	ed to Ori	ginal Pri	nt Color	S (i.e., befor	e)
					*	a		b	*
Column/row	Color Patch	I*Color	ΔΕ	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
A1	Media White	95.8	1.0	97.3	97.7	0.1	-0.1	0.6	1.5
B1	Highlight $L^* = 96$	97.5	0.9	89.8	90.4	0.8	0.5	-0.1	0.6
C1	Highlight $L^* = 89$	100.0	1.0	81.8	82.7	0.4	0.1	2.2	2.4
D1	Highlight $L^* = 78$	100.0	1.5	70.4	72.0	2.0	2.0	2.6	2.6
E1	Midtone L* = 66	100.0	1.7	61.1	62.8	2.2	2.5	3.3	3.5
F1	Midtone L* = 52	100.0	1.8	49.5	51.3	1.8	2.2	3.4	3.4
A2	Highlight $L^* = 92$	100.0	0.8	85.3	86.1	0.1	-0.1	2.0	2.1
B2	Highlight $L^* = 85$	100.0	1.2	78.2	79.3	-0.1	-0.2	2.0	2.0
C2	Highlight $L^* = 75$	100.0	1.6	68.1	69.7	2.1	2.2	2.9	2.8
D2	Midtone $L^* = 63$	100.0	1.7	58.0	59.6	2.1	2.3	2.1	2.3
E2	Midtone $L^* = 50$	100.0	1.8	47.9	49.7	1.7	2.2	3.2	3.1
F2	Midtone L* = 38	100.0	1.7	38.8	40.5	1.3	1.5	3.4	3.3
A3	Highlight $L^* = 82$	100.0	1.3	74.5	75.9	1.2	1.1	0.8	1.0
B3	Midtone L* = 72	100.0	1.6	65.8	67.5	2.1	2.3	3.6	3.5
C3	Midtone L* = 60	100.0	1.9	55.5	57.3	1.8	2.2	2.7	2.5
D3	Midtone L* = 47	100.0	1.9	45.5	47.4	1.5	1.9	3.2	3.0
E3	Midtone L* = 35	100.0	1.8	36.6	38.4	1.2	1.6	3.5	3.4
F3	Shadow $L^* = 25$	100.0	1.8	28.4	30.2	1.8	2.2	2.3	2.1
A4	Midtone L* = 69	100.0	1.7	63.3	65.0	1.8	2.0	4.1	4.0
B4	Midtone L* = 57	100.0	1.8	53.4	55.2	0.9	1.4	3.2	3.2
C4	Midtone L* = 45	100.0	1.9	43.6	45.5	1.7	2.1	3.2	3.0
D4	Midtone L* = 32	100.0	1.7	34.7	36.3	1.5	1.8	3.3	3.0
E4	Shadow $L^* = 20$	98.5	1.8	23.8	25.5	1.7	2.1	1.8	1.3
F4	Shadow $L^* = 10$	100.0	1.4	14.4	15.8	1.4	1.7	-0.5	-0.7
A5	Midtone L* = 55	100.0	1.7	51.9	53.6	1.2	1.6	3.2	3.1
B5	Midtone L* = 41	100.0	1.9	41.0	42.8	1.7	2.0	4.1	3.8
C5	Shadow $L^* = 29$	100.0	1.8	32.1	33.9	2.1	2.4	2.7	2.5
D5	Shadow $L^* = 15$	97.8	1.8	19.6	21.3	0.7	1.1	1.3	0.7
E5	Shadow $L^* = 5$	99.0	1.5	11.2	12.6	1.2	1.6	-0.7	-1.1
F5	Max Black	100.0	1.4	6.5	7.9	-0.4	0.0	2.3	2.5
Sumr	mary Results	I*Color	I*tone	ΔΕ		0/			
Average So	core for all patches	99.6	96.5	1.6	-	A <sub>A</sub>	RDENBURG		
	re for the Worst 10% t scoring patches)	97.0	95.0	1.9	1		& Archi	VES	Page 15




Epson Stylus Pro 3800, Epson OEM (K3 Ultrachrome – PS duotone mode) ink, Ilford Galerie Gold Fibre Silk 310gsm paper, coated with Hahnemühle Protective Spray

90 Mlux-hrs Light Exposure (i.e., after) Compared to Original Print Colors (i.e., before)									
		L* a*				b*			
Column/row	Color Patch	I*Color	ΔΕ	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
A1	Media White	96.7	0.9	97.3	97.7	0.1	-0.1	0.6	1.4
B1	Highlight $L^* = 96$	99.1	0.8	89.8	90.4	0.8	0.5	-0.1	0.4
C1	Highlight $L^* = 89$	100.0	1.1	81.8	82.8	0.4	0.2	2.2	2.1
D1	Highlight $L^* = 78$	100.0	1.7	70.4	72.1	2.0	2.0	2.6	2.3
E1	Midtone L* = 66	100.0	1.8	61.1	62.9	2.2	2.5	3.3	3.3
F1	Midtone L* = 52	100.0	2.0	49.5	51.4	1.8	2.2	3.4	3.2
A2	Highlight L* = 92	100.0	1.0	85.3	86.2	0.1	-0.1	2.0	1.7
B2	Highlight $L^* = 85$	100.0	1.4	78.2	79.5	-0.1	-0.2	2.0	1.5
C2	Highlight $L^* = 75$	100.0	1.8	68.1	69.8	2.1	2.2	2.9	2.5
D2	Midtone L* = 63	100.0	1.8	58.0	59.7	2.1	2.4	2.1	2.1
E2	Midtone $L^* = 50$	99.0	2.0	47.9	49.8	1.7	2.2	3.2	3.0
F2	Midtone L* = 38	100.0	1.8	38.8	40.6	1.3	1.6	3.4	3.2
A3	Highlight L* = 82	100.0	1.5	74.5	76.0	1.2	1.2	0.8	0.6
B3	Midtone L* = 72	100.0	1.8	65.8	67.6	2.1	2.4	3.6	3.2
C3	Midtone L* = 60	99.6	2.0	55.5	57.5	1.8	2.2	2.7	2.4
D3	Midtone L* = 47	99.3	2.1	45.5	47.6	1.5	2.0	3.2	2.9
E3	Midtone L* = 35	100.0	2.0	36.6	38.5	1.2	1.7	3.5	3.2
F3	Shadow $L^* = 25$	98.6	2.0	28.4	30.3	1.8	2.3	2.3	1.9
A4	Midtone L* = 69	100.0	1.8	63.3	65.1	1.8	2.1	4.1	3.7
B4	Midtone L* = 57	99.3	2.0	53.4	55.3	0.9	1.5	3.2	3.0
C4	Midtone L* = 45	99.6	2.0	43.6	45.6	1.7	2.2	3.2	2.8
D4	Midtone L* = 32	99.6	1.8	34.7	36.4	1.5	1.8	3.3	2.9
E4	Shadow $L^* = 20$	97.1	2.0	23.8	25.6	1.7	2.1	1.8	1.2
F4	Shadow L* = 10	98.1	1.5	14.4	15.8	1.4	1.9	-0.5	-0.9
A5	Midtone L* = 55	99.2	1.9	51.9	53.7	1.2	1.6	3.2	2.8
B5	Midtone L* = 41	98.2	2.0	41.0	42.9	1.7	2.1	4.1	3.6
C5	Shadow L* = 29	99.3	2.1	32.1	34.1	2.1	2.5	2.7	2.3
D5	Shadow $L^* = 15$	96.3	2.0	19.6	21.4	0.7	1.2	1.3	0.6
E5	Shadow $L^* = 5$	98.5	1.6	11.2	12.6	1.2	1.7	-0.7	-1.2
F5 Max Black		100.0	1.4	6.5	7.9	-0.4	-0.1	2.3	2.4
Summary Results		I*Color	I*tone	ΔΕ	×	•			
Average Score for all patches		99.2	96.1	1.7		Aardenburg Imaging			
Average Score for the Worst 10% (3 lowest scoring patches)		96.7	94.1	2.1			& Archi	VES	Page 16




Epson Stylus Pro 3800, Epson OEM (K3 Ultrachrome – PS duotone mode) ink, Ilford Galerie Gold Fibre Silk 310gsm paper, coated with Hahnemühle Protective Spray

100 Mlux-hrs Light Exposure (i.e., after) Compared to Original Print Colors (i.e., before)									
				L* a*			b	b*	
Column/row	Color Patch	I*Color	ΔΕ	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
A1	Media White	89.4	1.5	97.3	97.5	0.1	-0.1	0.6	2.1
B1	Highlight $L^* = 96$	94.4	1.1	89.8	90.2	0.8	0.5	-0.1	0.9
C1	Highlight $L^* = 89$	100.0	0.9	81.8	82.6	0.4	0.3	2.2	2.4
D1	Highlight $L^* = 78$	100.0	1.5	70.4	72.0	2.0	2.1	2.6	2.8
E1	Midtone L* = 66	99.1	1.8	61.1	62.8	2.2	2.6	3.3	3.8
F1	Midtone L* = 52	99.7	1.9	49.5	51.3	1.8	2.3	3.4	3.5
A2	Highlight L* = 92	100.0	0.7	85.3	86.0	0.1	0.1	2.0	2.0
B2	Highlight $L^* = 85$	100.0	1.1	78.2	79.3	-0.1	0.0	2.0	1.8
C2	Highlight $L^* = 75$	100.0	1.6	68.1	69.7	2.1	2.4	2.9	2.9
D2	Midtone L* = 63	98.0	1.8	58.0	59.6	2.1	2.5	2.1	2.6
E2	Midtone $L^* = 50$	98.3	1.9	47.9	49.8	1.7	2.3	3.2	3.4
F2	Midtone L* = 38	100.0	1.9	38.8	40.6	1.3	1.7	3.4	3.4
A3	Highlight L* = 82	100.0	1.3	74.5	75.8	1.2	1.4	0.8	0.9
B3	Midtone L* = 72	100.0	1.6	65.8	67.4	2.1	2.6	3.6	3.7
C3	Midtone L* = 60	98.7	1.9	55.5	57.3	1.8	2.4	2.7	2.8
D3	Midtone L* = 47	99.8	2.0	45.5	47.4	1.5	2.0	3.2	3.2
E3	Midtone L* = 35	99.7	2.0	36.6	38.5	1.2	1.8	3.5	3.5
F3	Shadow $L^* = 25$	99.9	1.9	28.4	30.2	1.8	2.3	2.3	2.2
A4	Midtone L* = 69	99.9	1.7	63.3	65.0	1.8	2.3	4.1	4.2
B4	Midtone L* = 57	98.5	1.9	53.4	55.2	0.9	1.6	3.2	3.3
C4	Midtone L* = 45	100.0	1.9	43.6	45.5	1.7	2.2	3.2	3.1
D4	Midtone L* = 32	100.0	1.8	34.7	36.4	1.5	1.9	3.3	3.1
E4	Shadow $L^* = 20$	98.4	1.9	23.8	25.6	1.7	2.1	1.8	1.3
F4	Shadow L* = 10	99.7	1.5	14.4	15.8	1.4	1.8	-0.5	-0.7
A5	Midtone L* = 55	100.0	1.5	51.9	53.4	1.2	1.7	3.2	3.1
B5	Midtone L* = 41	99.7	1.9	41.0	42.9	1.7	2.1	4.1	3.9
C5	Shadow L* = 29	100.0	2.0	32.1	34.0	2.1	2.5	2.7	2.6
D5	Shadow $L^* = 15$	97.6	1.9	19.6	21.3	0.7	1.2	1.3	0.8
E5	Shadow $L^* = 5$	99.4 100.0	1.5	11.2	12.6	1.2	1.6	-0.7	-1.1
F5	F5 Max Black		1.1	6.5	7.6	-0.4	-0.1	2.3	2.5
Summary Results		I*Color	I*tone	ΔΕ					
Average Score for all patches		99.0	95.8	1.6		A <sub>A</sub>	RDENBURG		
Average Score for the Worst 10% (3 lowest scoring patches)		93.8	92.6	2.0	& Archives			Page 17	




Epson Stylus Pro 3800, Epson OEM (K3 Ultrachrome – PS duotone mode) ink, Ilford Galerie Gold Fibre Silk 310gsm paper, coated with Hahnemühle Protective Spray

120 Mlux-hrs Light Exposure (i.e., after) Compared to Original Print Colors (i.e., before)									
		L*			*	а	*	b*	
Column/row	Color Patch	I*Color	ΔΕ	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
A1	Media White	94.8	1.1	97.3	97.7	0.1	-0.1	0.6	1.6
B1	Highlight $L^* = 96$	100.0	0.7	89.8	90.5	0.8	0.7	-0.1	-0.1
C1	Highlight $L^* = 89$	88.9	2.0	81.8	83.0	0.4	0.5	2.2	0.7
D1	Highlight $L^* = 78$	93.2	2.3	70.4	72.4	2.0	2.2	2.6	1.4
E1	Midtone L* = 66	97.5	2.2	61.1	63.2	2.2	2.7	3.3	2.8
F1	Midtone L* = 52	97.2	2.3	49.5	51.7	1.8	2.4	3.4	2.9
A2	Highlight L* = 92	85.9	2.1	85.3	86.3	0.1	0.3	2.0	0.2
B2	Highlight $L^* = 85$	81.7	2.7	78.2	79.7	-0.1	0.3	2.0	-0.2
C2	Highlight $L^* = 75$	88.9	2.5	68.1	70.2	2.1	2.5	2.9	1.4
D2	Midtone L* = 63	98.0	2.2	58.0	60.1	2.1	2.6	2.1	1.7
E2	Midtone $L^* = 50$	97.0	2.3	47.9	50.1	1.7	2.3	3.2	2.7
F2	Midtone L* = 38	97.1	2.2	38.8	40.9	1.3	1.7	3.4	2.8
A3	Highlight $L^* = 82$	87.0	2.4	74.5	76.2	1.2	1.6	0.8	-0.9
B3	Midtone L* = 72	90.6	2.5	65.8	67.9	2.1	2.6	3.6	2.3
C3	Midtone L* = 60	95.6	2.4	55.5	57.7	1.8	2.4	2.7	2.0
D3	Midtone L* = 47	95.6	2.4	45.5	47.7	1.5	2.1	3.2	2.5
E3	Midtone L* = 35	96.7	2.3	36.6	38.8	1.2	1.8	3.5	2.9
F3	Shadow $L^* = 25$	96.9	2.2	28.4	30.5	1.8	2.3	2.3	1.7
A4	Midtone L* = 69	91.1	2.5	63.3	65.4	1.8	2.4	4.1	2.9
B4	Midtone $L^* = 57$	93.9	2.4	53.4	55.6	0.9	1.7	3.2	2.4
C4	Midtone $L^* = 45$	95.3	2.4	43.6	45.8	1.7	2.4	3.2	2.5
D4	Midtone $L^* = 32$	95.6	2.2	34.7	36.7	1.5	2.0	3.3	2.5
E4	Shadow $L^* = 20$	94.3	2.4	23.8	25.9	1.7	2.2	1.8	0.9
F4	Shadow $L^* = 10$	96.5	2.0	14.4	16.2	1.4	1.9	-0.5	-1.1
A5	Midtone $L^* = 55$	94.9	2.2	51.9	53.9	1.2	1.8	3.2	2.4
B5	Midtone L* = 41	95.6	2.4	41.0	43.2	1.7	2.2	4.1	3.4
C5	Shadow $L^* = 29$	97.0	2.4	32.1	34.3	2.1	2.6	2.7	2.1
D5	Shadow L* = 15	94.2	2.3	19.6	21.7	0.7	1.2	1.3	0.4
E5	Shadow $L^* = 5$	96.9	1.9	11.2	13.0	1.2	1.7	-0.7	-1.3
F5	Max Black	100.0	1.7	6.5	8.2	-0.4	0.1	2.3	2.4
Summary Results		I*Color	I*tone	ΔΕ		•			
Average Score for all patches		94.3	95.8	2.2	Aardenburg Imaging				
Average Score for the Worst 10% (3 lowest scoring patches)		84.9	92.9	2.6	-		& Archi	VES	Page 18



Epson Stylus Pro 3800, Epson OEM (K3 Ultrachrome – PS duotone mode) ink, Ilford Galerie Gold Fibre Silk 310gsm paper, coated with Hahnemühle Protective Spray

140 Mlux-hrs Light Exposure (i.e., after) Compared to Original Print Colors (i.e., before)										
		L*			* a		*		b*	
Column/row	Color Patch	I*Color	ΔΕ	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	
A1	Media White	90.2	1.5	97.3	97.6	0.1	0.0	0.6	2.0	
B1	Highlight $L^* = 96$	100.0	0.6	89.8	90.4	0.8	0.8	-0.1	-0.1	
C1	Highlight $L^* = 89$	77.6	2.9	81.8	83.0	0.4	0.9	2.2	-0.4	
D1	Highlight $L^* = 78$	84.7	2.8	70.4	72.4	2.0	2.5	2.6	0.7	
E1	Midtone L* = 66	93.1	2.4	61.1	63.2	2.2	2.9	3.3	2.4	
F1	Midtone L* = 52	95.7	2.4	49.5	51.8	1.8	2.5	3.4	2.8	
A2	Highlight L* = 92	77.3	2.8	85.3	86.3	0.1	0.7	2.0	-0.6	
B2	Highlight $L^* = 85$	72.9	3.4	78.2	79.6	-0.1	0.7	2.0	-1.0	
C2	Highlight $L^* = 75$	80.6	3.1	68.1	70.2	2.1	2.9	2.9	0.7	
D2	Midtone L* = 63	94.9	2.3	58.0	60.1	2.1	2.8	2.1	1.5	
E2	Midtone $L^* = 50$	94.1	2.4	47.9	50.1	1.7	2.5	3.2	2.7	
F2	Midtone L* = 38	94.6	2.4	38.8	41.0	1.3	1.9	3.4	2.7	
A3	Highlight L* = 82	81.0	2.9	74.5	76.3	1.2	1.9	0.8	-1.4	
B3	Midtone L* = 72	83.1	3.0	65.8	67.9	2.1	3.0	3.6	1.7	
C3	Midtone L* = 60	92.2	2.6	55.5	57.7	1.8	2.6	2.7	1.8	
D3	Midtone L* = 47	93.5	2.5	45.5	47.8	1.5	2.3	3.2	2.4	
E3	Midtone L* = 35	94.9	2.5	36.6	38.8	1.2	1.9	3.5	2.8	
F3	Shadow $L^* = 25$	94.9	2.4	28.4	30.6	1.8	2.4	2.3	1.6	
A4	Midtone L* = 69	83.9	2.9	63.3	65.4	1.8	2.7	4.1	2.3	
B4	Midtone L* = 57	90.0	2.7	53.4	55.7	0.9	1.9	3.2	2.1	
C4	Midtone $L^* = 45$	92.9	2.6	43.6	45.9	1.7	2.5	3.2	2.3	
D4	Midtone $L^* = 32$	93.6	2.4	34.7	36.9	1.5	2.1	3.3	2.4	
E4	Shadow $L^* = 20$	92.8	2.5	23.8	26.0	1.7	2.3	1.8	0.8	
F4	Shadow $L^* = 10$	94.6	2.0	14.4	16.2	1.4	2.0	-0.5	-1.2	
A5	Midtone $L^* = 55$	92.4	2.5	51.9	54.1	1.2	2.0	3.2	2.3	
B5	Midtone L* = 41	91.9	2.6	41.0	43.3	1.7	2.5	4.1	3.1	
C5	Shadow $L^* = 29$	95.3	2.5	32.1	34.4	2.1	2.7	2.7	2.0	
D5	Shadow $L^* = 15$	92.5	2.4	19.6	21.7	0.7	1.3	1.3	0.2	
E5	Shadow $L^* = 5$	95.0	1.9	11.2	12.9	1.2	1.8	-0.7	-1.5	
F5	Max Black	99.8	1.5	6.5	8.0	-0.4	0.1	2.3	2.5	
Summary Results		I*Color	I*tone	ΔΕ		•				
Average Score for all patches		90.3	95.8	2.5	Aardenburg Imaging					
Average Score for the Worst 10% (3 lowest scoring patches)		75.9	93.3	3.2	1		& Archi	VES	Page 19	



Aardenburg Imaging

& Archives